## 16 October 1946

TO

. CHIEF, ICAPS

FROM

. CHIEF, INTELLIGENCE STAFF, ORE

REPERENCE:

(a) C.I.G. 16

(b) H.I.L. Directive No. 1, para, 6

(a) C.I.G. Admin. Order No. 3 (Revised), para. 3

SUBJECT : Intelligence Estimates Prepared by the Central Intelligence Group

- 1. In response to your oral request, I submit herein comment and recommendations with respect to Reference (a).
- 2. As one of those who drafted Reference (b), I recall that it was anticipated that the concurrence or dissent of the Departmental intelligence agencies concerned would be elicited by the submission of C.I.G. estimates to the I.A.B. prior to their dissemination. The unanimous concurrence of the I.A.B. was not required, provided that any substantial dissent was noted.
- 5. This concept underlies Reference (c). It was considered that the I.A.B. was on too high a level to engage in the detailed revision of draft estimates, either to obtain unanimous concurrence or to note substantial dissent, actual experience with the J.l.C. proving that point. To obviste an issue's first appearing on that level, a representative group corresponding to the I.A.B., but within C.R.S. (O.R.E.) was contemplated, to review draft estimates and amound them or note dissent while the material was in a more malleable condition. This group was modelled after the Senior Team, J.I.S., but, to obviate the deadlooks which too frequently occur in J.I.S. (or the corollary necessity to water down sense in order to obtain concurrence), the Chief, C.R.S. WES given a deciding voice, subject only to the notation of substantial dissent. Thus estimates would reach the L.A.B. with assurance of concurrence or with any dissent carefully formulated under the influence of joint sensideration.
- 4. It should be noted in this connection that a full-time group such as that envisaged in Reference (a) is preferable to any ad hoc group. Full-time participation inculcates a broader point of view and leads to two-way representation, regardless of the

technical distinction between "detailed" and "assigned." I know that as a member of the Senior Team, J.I.S., I was as often engaged in persuading my principal to a "joint" point of view, which he could not obtain from any of his subordinates within G-2, as I was in urging the G-2 point of view on J.I.S.

- 5. The group envisaged in Reference (c) was never activated because C.R.S. never reached the stage of readiness to produce estimates. Reference (c) was rescinded by C.I.G. Directive No. 14.
- 6. ORE I is typical of the estimates which O.R.E. expects to produce frequently when adequately manned. Its preparation, of which a detailed account is contained in the appendix, is not typical of the procedures to be followed in such cases. By reason of special circumstances existing at the time, a formal coordination of that paper prior to its submission to the Director was physically impossible within the time limit set. It can be said, however, that it was coordinated in effect, insamuch as it was:
  - Based on JIS 80/25
  - b. Checked against a simultaneous J.1.C. response to a similar request.
  - c. Reviewed by a representative group of Departmental specialists, who concurred in it subject to amendments which were subsequently made, indicating no substantial dissent. This statement could be questioned only with respect to para. 2, regarding which it can be argued that (1) the issue was rhetorical rather than substantial;
  - (2) the matter lies clearly within the primary competence of State, the specialists from which did concur.
- 7. Solution of the problem presented in C.I.G. 18 would be facilitated by solution of two other pending problems:
  - a. The allocation of primary intelligence responsibilities, which might obviste any question of obtaining the concurrence of a Department in a matter lying within the primary competence of another.
  - b. The disposition of the J.I.S., with particular reference to Its incorporation in U.R.E.
- 8. With respect to C.I.G. 16 itself, I recommend that a counterproposal be made reviving, with suitable modification, the idea contained in Reference (c) and para, 3 above. This group should constitute (or be the nucleus of) the Projects Division,

## Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000300140108-4

Intelligence Staff, ORE, which has been given similar functions. This is precisely the point at which the purpose indicated in para. 3 can best be accomplished and at which the influence indicated in para. 4 can be most effective.

LUDWELL L. MINTAGUE Chief, Intelligence Staff Research and Evaluation

## Approved For Release 2004/05/12: CIA-RDP67-00059A000300140108

·	and the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of		7 /
3			40
difford	the second of the control of the control of the control of the second of the second of the second of the control of the contro	grange de la constant	Jue
*	Section 1991 And Administration of the Company of t	dervedills.	
4.	OFFICE OF ASSIST, AT DIRLUTUR		ACTION
Janes State State State	The second of the Committee of the commi		ಕೃತಿಗೊಂಡಿನ ವೈಕರ್ತಕ ಜನ ಸಮ್
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Loting Asst. Director		
این در	ecting Deputy Jast, Director		RECOLUENDATION
···	to a source we grow to higher the second to the second second second second second second second second second		Ballyton - wyth
2) Patricina de la companya de la comp	region Substantino men diagno della carparamenta di successor di succe	and the second	
,	EXECUTIVE STAFF		SIGILITURE
Transcript works of	acting Executive	2.6	動物に たて 2種物を
	Administrative Assistant		LINGRAL TION
	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	The state of the s	
	Recording Desk	and the second	
	چې موروپه د مختره کې د راې را خو وې مورو د مورو د مورو مورو <del>کې د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د</del>	. San Barrard	RETURN
1 mar 1 m 1 m	Palifillo St. FF		
A CONTRACTOR	acting Unios	1 1	
	A SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	The second second second	PRIPARATION
	and the control of th	a a safar consel	OF REPLY
	THELLIGHT STEET		
gradient sets for any fit	Children and the control of the cont	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
A CONTRACTOR	Jeraty Chief	1	DIRECT REPLY
	A Company of the Comp	and the second second second	Baller A, et ministration
4 4	Chief Jurrent Division Vivision		COLUMNT
	Chiol's Prosontation praision		CO. MALLELL L
	The same of the sa	The second secon	
1 (4 ) (2) (8)	INTER A LOAD CAN LIBERICH	Î Î	/ FILE
	CTIII7 Chiqt	2 2 124	- dime
	The second of th	Contract of the second	•
	To the control of the	gramma, meta segura a part a servici de	) IJTh
	CRETHERE BRANCH	and the contract of	Marie Processing
	Young Chiof		MIL
	The resource of the control of the c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	、1100年度 2000年 2013年 - 1987年
	But have been some and and represent the second of the sec	agar, ngggag, mar pala Papara na Ngarta Pala Sa	
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	THE STERM BULOPEAR DRUGGE		
70.00	COLUMN CONTROL OF THE COLUMN CONTROL OF THE COLUMN		
	A Company of the Comp	and the second second	
10 1.3 1.1 197 <del>- 4</del>	LIST ME EUROPE - U.S.S.R. BR.		
and the property	CTIME Chief		
4	S. Out has he did I de l Which has the second and indicate the second and the	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS	*
4	- Agricultural description of the second of	and the second first and the second	
	TOUL EST-SOUTH APRICA BR.	are our constitutions are one	
Committee Committee	noting Chief	i i	
	The state of the s	7000	
	Section remains the second and the second section of the section of the second section of the section o	and the second	
	FAR HAST-PACIFIC BRAIGH		
	Acting Calor		
and the second	20 A September 1	Proceedings of the Contract of	
C. A. GRANIA	THERERY BROWN	•	
65 #1 9	Anting Chief		

one t M. Edgar immediately.
Approved For Release 2004/05/12: CIA-RDP67-00059A000300140108-4